

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WILLIAM CONEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LVMPD MICHAEL LOZO, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00685-GMN-EJY

**ORDER ADOPTING  
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), (ECF No. 18), from United States Magistrate Judge Elayna J. Youchah recommending that Plaintiff William Coney’s First Amendment retaliation and Fifth Amendment double jeopardy claims be dismissed with prejudice. (*See generally* R&R, ECF No. 18).

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made if the Magistrate Judge’s findings and recommendations concern matters that may not be finally determined by a magistrate judge. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s R&R where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).


1 No objections to the R&R were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* R&R,  
2 ECF No. 18) (setting a July 17, 2025, deadline for objections).

3 Accordingly,

4 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 18), is  
5 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation and Fifth  
7 Amendment double jeopardy claims are **DISMISSED with prejudice**.

8 Dated this 25 day of July, 2025.

9  
10   
11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
13 United States District Court  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25